**International Journal of Mathematics and Computer** Applications Research (IJMCAR) ISSN(P): 2249-6955; ISSN(E): 2249-8060 Vol. 3, Issue 5, Dec 2013, 37-42

© TJPRC Pvt. Ltd.



A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM BY USING THE CONCEPT OF GENERALIZED CONTRACTION MAP

G. R. K. SAHU<sup>1</sup> & M. K. SAHU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Government Model Science College,

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics Government Post Graduate College, Gadarwara, Madhya Pradesh, India

**ABSTRACT** 

In the present paper, we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for three self -maps on complete metric space satisfying a new contraction condition which significantly covers the result of Banach [2] (see also [5], [11]).

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25

**KEYWORDS:** Common Fixed Point, Complete Metric Space, Contraction Mapping

1. INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle is one of the most important tools in the field of fixed point theory with reference to the metric space. Theorems related to existence and uniqueness of fixed points are known as fixed point theorems. The theory of fixed points has been become an important tool in non linear functional analysis since 1930. The significance of this field lies in its vast applicability to many branches of mathematics and other sciences. The study of common fixed points of mappings satisfying different contractive conditions has been explored extensively by many mathematicians. Ciric [5], Fisher [6] (see also [7], [8]), Kannan [11], Iseki et al. [9], Pant [8], Pathak et al. [9], Cho et al. [3], Jungck [10] and Stojakovic [17], Powar et al. [14] (see also [15], [16]), Babu et al. [1], Choudhary [4] etc. established some interesting results on common fixed point theorem considering commuting mappings on different metric spaces.

In the present paper, we have assured the existence of common fixed point for three self mappings under the generalized concept of contraction. It is interesting to note that the Banach contraction principle is a special case of proposed generalized contraction.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to establish our result, we require the following definitions

**Definition 2.1**[2] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping T:  $X \rightarrow X$  is called a **contraction mapping** if there exists a real number k, 0 < k < 1, such that

 $d(Tx, Ty) \le kd(x, y)$ , for all x, y in X.

**Definition 2.2** Let (X, d) be a metric space and let E, F and T be three self mappings defined over X. A point  $p \in X$  is called common fixed point of mappings E, F and if Ep = Fp = Tp = p.

**Definition 2.3** Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T be a self mappings defined over X. Let  $x \in X$  then  $O(x) = \{T^n(x) : n \in X \}$ = 0, 1, 2, ...} is called the orbit of x.

38 G. R. K. Sahu & M. K. Sahu

**Definition 2.4** A Space X is said to be T- orbitally complete iff every Cauchy sequence which is contained in O(x) for some x in X converges in X.

## 3. RESULTS ALREADY PROVED

The well-known Banach contraction principle is given below:

**Theorem 3.1** [2] If T is a mapping of a complete metric space X into itself such that

 $d(Tx, Ty) \le \alpha d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

Kannan [11] in 1968 established the following result:

**Theorem 3.2** If T is a mapping of a complete metric space X into itself such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \le \beta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $0 < \beta < 1/2$ .

Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Theorem 3.3** If T a self mapping Ton a metric space X satisfying the following property:

 $d(Tx,Ty) \leq ad(x,y) + bd(x,Tx) + cd(y,Ty) + e[d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)], \text{ for all } x,y \in X \text{ , where a, b, c, } e \geq 0, \text{ with } a+b+c+2e < 1, \text{ then } T \text{ has a unique fixed point provided that } X \text{ is } T\text{- orbitally complete.}$ 

## 4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we state and prove our main result.

**Theorem4.1** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let E, F and T be three continuous self mappings defined over X satisfying the following conditions:

(a) 
$$ET = TE$$
,  $FT = TF$ ,  $E(X) \subset T(X)$ ,  $F(X) \subset T(X)$  (4.1)

(b) 
$$d(Ex, Fy) \le \alpha \frac{d(Tx, Fy)d(Ty, Ex)d(Tx, Ex)d(Tx, Ty) + d(Tx, Fy)}{1 + d(Tx, Ey)d(Ty, Ex)d(Tx, Ex)d(Tx, Ty)}$$

+ 
$$\beta \left[ d(Tx, Ex) + d(Ty, Fy) \right]$$

$$+ \gamma \left[ d(Tx, Fy) + d(Ty, Ex) \right] + \delta d(Tx, Ty) \tag{4.2}$$

for all x, y  $\in$  X with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  are non-negative real numbers such that  $2\alpha+2\beta+2\gamma+\delta<1$ .

Then E, F and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point of X and  $\{Tx_n\}$  be a sequence of points of X such that

$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ex_{2n} \text{ and } Tx_{2n+2} = Fx_{2n+1}$$
 for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$  (4.3)

It is possible because  $E(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $F(X) \subset T(X)$ 

Applying (4.2), we arrive at

$$d(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+2}) = d(Ex_{2n},Fx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \alpha \, \frac{d\big(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}\big) \, d\big(Tx_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n}\big) \, d\big(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\big) d\big(Tx_{n}, Ex_{2n}\big) + d\big(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}\big)}{1 + d\big(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}\big) d\big(Tx_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n}\big) d\big(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\big) d\big(Tx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}\big)}$$

$$+ \beta \left[ d(Tx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1}) \right]$$

$$+ \gamma \left[ d(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n}) \right]$$

$$+ \delta d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$$
(4.4)

In view of (4.3), (4.4) reduce to

$$\begin{split} &d\big(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+2}\big) \leq \alpha d\big(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+2}\big) \\ &+ \beta \left[d\big(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}\big) + d\big(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+2}\big)\right] \\ &+ \gamma \ d\big(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+2}\big) + \delta d\big(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}\big) \end{split}$$

Applying triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\left(1\!-\!\alpha\!-\!\beta\!-\!\gamma\right)d\!\left(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+2}\right)\!\leq\!\left(\alpha\!+\!\beta\!+\!\gamma\!+\!\delta\right)d\!\left(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}\right) \\ \Rightarrow & d\!\left(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+2}\right)\!\leq\!\frac{\alpha\!+\!\beta\!+\!\gamma\!+\!\delta}{1\!-\!\alpha\!-\!\beta\!-\!\gamma}\;d\!\left(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}\right) \end{split}$$

Hence 
$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \le u d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}),$$

where 
$$u = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma} < 1$$
 (By hypothesis).

Similarly, we have 
$$\,d\big(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}\big) \leq u\,d\big(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{n}\big)$$

Proceeding in this way, we get

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \le u^{2n+1} d(Tx_{o}, Tx_{1}).$$

Also for any positive integer k > n, it may be written directly that

$$\begin{split} &d\left(Tx_{n},Tx_{n+k}\right)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{k}\ d\left(Tx_{n+i-1},Tx_{n+i}\right)\\ &\leq\ \sum_{i=1}^{k}\ u^{n+i-1}\ d\left(Tx_{o},Tx_{1}\right)\\ &\leq\frac{u^{n}}{1-u}\ d\left(Tx_{o},Tx_{1}\right){\longrightarrow}0\,,\,\text{as}\,\,n{\longrightarrow}\infty\,. \end{split}$$

Hence  $\{Tx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence of points of X. Since X is complete,  $\{Tx_n\}$  converges to p, for some  $p \in X$ .

In view of (4.3), both the sequences  $\{Ex_{2n}\}\$  and  $\{Fx_{2n+1}\}\$  also converge to p.

Applying the continuity of E, F and T, we conclude

$$E (Tx_{2n}) \to Ep$$
and  $F (Tx_{2n+1}) \to Fp$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . (4.5)

Referring (4.1), we have  $E(Tx_{2n}) = TEx_{2n}$  and  $F(Tx_{2n+1}) = TFx_{2n+1}$ , for all n=0, 1, 2,...

Letting limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we have

40 G. R. K. Sahu & M. K. Sahu

$$Ep = Tp = Fp \text{ and}$$
 (4.6)

$$T(Tp) = T(Ep) = E(Tp) = FE$$
(4.7)

For  $Ep \neq F(Ep)$  and referring (4.2), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$d\big(Ep,\,FEp\big) \leq \alpha \, \frac{d\big(Tp,FEp\big)d\big(TEp,Ep\big)d\big(Tp,TEp\big)\cdot d\big(Tp,Ep\big) + d\big(Tp,FEp\big)}{1+d\big(Tp,FEp\big)d\big(TEp,Ep\big)d\big(Tp,TEp\big)d\big(Tp,Ep\big)}$$

+ 
$$\beta \left[ d(Tp, Ep) + d(TEp, FEp) \right]$$

$$+ \gamma \left[ d(Tp, FEp) + d(TEp, Ep) \right]$$

+ 
$$\delta d(Fp, TEp)$$

$$\leq (\alpha + 2\gamma + \delta) d(Ep, FEp)$$

This implies that d(Ep, FEp) < d(Ep, FEp)

which is a contradiction to our assumption  $F Ep \neq Ep$ .

Hence, F Ep = Ep.

Thus, we have Ep = F Ep = T Ep = E Ep, when we appeal to (4.7).

This shows that Ep is the common fixed point of mappings E, F and T.

Claim: Common fixed point is unique.

Let, if possible, there exist points q are r in X, with  $q \neq r$ , such that

$$Eq = Fq = Tq = q$$
 and  $Er = Fr = Tr = r$ 

Now d(q, r) = d(Eq, Fr)

$$\leq (\alpha + 2\gamma + \delta) d(Eq, Er)$$

$$\leq d(q, r)$$

which leads to a contradiction, hence q = r.

This implies that E, F and T have a unique common fixed point.

## **CONCLUTIONS**

It may be observed that the special choices of self maps E, F and T along with the particular values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  our result covers the following theorems as special cases:

- Considering E= F, T= I and  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ , we get theorem 3.1(cf.[2]) of section 3.
- Assuming E=F, T=I and  $\alpha = \gamma = \delta = 0$ , we obtain theorem 3.2 (cf.[11]) of section 3.
- Choosing E = F, T = I and  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = \frac{ud(Tx,Ex) + vd(Ty,Fy)}{1 + d(Tx,Ex) + d(Ty,Fy)}$  (where u, v are non

negative real numbers such that  $u+v+2\gamma+\delta<1$ ) and considering X is T-orbitally

complete, we get theorem 3.3 (cf. [5]) of section 3.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Babu, G. V. R., Generalization of fixed point theorems relating to the diameter of orbits by using a control function, Tamkang J. Math, 35(2), 159-168 (2004).
- 2. Banach S., Surles operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equation sitegrales, Fund. Math. 3, 133-181 (1992).
- 3. Cho, Y. J., Murthy, P. P., Stojakovic, M., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points in Menger spaces, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 7 No.2, 325-339 (1992).
- 4. Choudhary, B. S. and Dutta, P. N., A unified fixed point result in metric spaces involving a two variable function, Filomat, 14, 43-48 (2000).
- 5. Ciric, Lj. B., General contraction and fixed point theorem. Publ. Inst. Math. 12, 19-26 (1971).
- 6. Fisher, B., A fixed point theorem for compact metric space. Publ. Inst. Math. 25, 193-194 (1976).
- 7. Fisher, B., A common fixed point theorem for commuting mapping Math. Sem. Note. 7, 279-300 (1979).
- 8. Fisher, B., Common fixed points of commuting mapping, Bull. Inst. Math, Acad. Sincia., 9, 399-409 (1981).
- 9. Iseki K., Rajput S. S., Sharma P. L., An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression. Mathematical Seminar notes, Kobe University Vol. 10 (1982).
- 10. Jungck, G., Common fixed point for commuting and common fixed points (2), Internat. J. Math. and Maths. Sci. 9(4), 285-288 (1988).
- 11. Kannan, R., Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60, 71-76 (1968).
- 12. Pant, R. P., Common fixed points of two pairs of commuting mapping, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 17(2), 187-192 (1986).
- 13. Pathak, H. K., Cho, Y. J., Kang, S. M., Jung, J. S., Common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of Type (T) and variational inequalities, Publ. Math Debercecen, 46, 285-299 (1995).
- 14. Powar, P. L. and Sahu, G. R. K., Common fixed point theorem by generalized altering distance functions on partial metric space, International Journal of Advanced Computing Research, Vol. 46 Issue. 2, 1200-1203 (2013).
- 15. Powar, P. L. and Sahu, G. R. K., Common fixed point theorem by subadditive altering distance function for sequence of mappings, American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 3(4), 190-193 (2013).
- 16. Powar, P. L. and Sahu, G. R. K., Serendipity fixed point, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research, Vol.3, Issue 3, 65-78 (2013).
- 17. Stojakovic, M., Common fixed point theorems on complete metric and probabilistic metric spaces. Bull Austral. Math. Soc. 36, 73-88 (1987).